



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 10.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.7696 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P.(MD).No.5732 of 2025

1.N.Mohammed Hilal

2.Jafar Ali

3.Satham Usain

4.Sarjun

... Petitioners

(Petitioners 2 to 4 are impleaded as per order of this Court dated 06.08.2025 in Crl.M.P.(MD).No.10258 of 2025 in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.7696 of 2025)

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Sakkottai Police Station,
Sivagangai.
(Crime No.126/2024)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Rasul Jenifer

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to the impugned Charge Sheet in C.C.No.180 of 2024, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi and quash the same.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Bageerathan
For R-1 : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor
For R-2 : Mr.R.Raghaev

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to quash the final report filed in C.C.No.180 of 2024 for the offences under Section 448, 427, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(2) of IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

2. The allegation in the final report is that on account of prior enmity, the petitioners had trespassed into the house of the second respondent, attacked her with wooden logs, abused her in filthy language and caused damage to the house of the second respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are closely related to the second respondent and in order to maintain peace within the family, the compromise had been arrived at.

4. The parties, namely, the petitioners and the second respondent have since entered into a compromise and filed a joint compromise memo stating that



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

on the intervention of elders, they have resolved their misunderstanding. The

scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION
(Under Section 52 of Criminal Procedure Code)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS,
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Side)

Crl.O.P.(MD) No. of 2025

Against

CC. No. 180 of 2024

(On the file of the Hon'ble Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi)

In

Crime no.126 of 2024

(On the file of the 1st respondent police)

N.MOHAMMED HILAL, (M/26),
S/o. Naser,
No.7, Mela Pallivasal Street,
Puduvayal, Karaikudi

...Petitioner/ Accused 4

Versus

1. The State of Tamilnadu rep. by Ins. of Police,
Sakkottai PS,
Sivagangai.


...Respondent no 1/Complainant

2. Rasul Jenifer, (W/28),
W/o. Sirajudeen,
No. 4, Thaikal Street,
Puduvayal, Karaikudi

...Respondent no 2/Defacto Complainant

N. N. N. N.

S. J. S. S.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

5

**JOINT MEMO OF COMPROMISE FILED BY THE PETITIONERS AND THE
2nd RESPONDENT**

The Petitioners and the 2nd Respondent/De-facto Complainant jointly state as follows:

1. The parties have amicably settled the dispute that arose from the incident dated 07.05.2024, which led to the registration of FIR No. 126 of 2024 on the file of the 1st Respondent police.
2. In order to maintain peace and harmony, and with the intervention of elders, the parties have resolved their misunderstandings.
3. In light of this amicable settlement, the 2nd Respondent/De-facto Complainant has no objection to the proceedings in Crime No. 126 of 2024 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police, and the subsequent case in C.C. No. 180 of 2024 pending on the file of the Hon'ble Judicial Magistrate Court, Karakkudi, being quashed in its entirety as against all the Petitioners.
4. All parties agree that this compromise is final and binding, and they will not initiate any further legal actions or claims against each other related to the incident in question.

It is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record this Joint Memo of Compromise and quash the proceedings against all the Petitioners in Crime No. 126 of 2024 and C.C. No. 180 of 2024, and thus render justice.

Signed:


Jafar Ali - A1, *R. Jafar Ali*
Satham Usain - A2, *Satham Usain*
Sarjun - A3, *Sarjun*
Mohammed Hilal - A4, *Mohammed Hilal*

(PETITIONER)
Nothing
MS 6759/2024

X.S. Jagan Mohan

Rasul Jennifer.S

Rasul
MS 2500/2018



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

5. The petitioners and the second respondent/defacto complainant are present before this Court in person. They are identified by M/s.S.Rani, Woman Head Constable, Sakkottai Police Station, Sivagangai District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in C.C.No.180 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

10.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm

To
1.The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Karaikudi.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Sakkottai Police Station,
Sivagangai.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7696 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).No.7696 of 2025

10.09.2025