



REV.APLC.(MD)No.76 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU**

AND

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

REV.APLC.(MD)No.76 of 2025

A.Selvaraj

...Review Applicant

-Vs-

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary,
Education Department,
Secretariate, Chennai-600 009.

2.The Director of School Educaion,
College Road, Chennai-600 006.


3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.

4.The District Educational Officer,
Devakottai,
Sivagangai District.

5.The Secretary,
Ramanathan Chettiar Higher Secondary School,
Puduvayal-630 108,
Karaikudi Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondents

Page 1 of 4



REV.APLC.(MD)No.76 of 2025

PRAYER: Review Application is filed under Order-47, Rule-1 and 2 r/w Section 114 of CPC., to review the order passed by this Court in C.M.P.(MD)No.5523 of 2025 in W.A.(MD)SR No.24099 of 2025.

For review applicant : Mr.S.Xavier Rajini

For R1 to R4 : Mr.J.Ashok

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by ***J.NISHA BANU, J.***]

This review application is filed seeking to review the order passed by this Court in C.M.P.(MD)No.5523 of 2025 in W.A.(MD)SR No.24099 of 2025.

2.The review applicant filed the writ petition seeking to count his past service as Secondary Grade Teacher for the purpose of pensionary benefits. The said writ petition was dismissed on 21.03.2023. The writ petitioner with the delay of 800 days, has filed a writ appeal being aggrieved by the order of dismissal made in the writ petition. The reason stated by him is that the learned counsel, who represented him before the writ Court, has not intimated about the dismissal of the writ petition.

Page 2 of 4



REV.APLC.(MD)No.76 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.The reasons assigned by the petitioner are not convincing to condone the huge delay of 800 days and therefore, this Court dismissed the condone delay petition. Against which, the present review application is filed. There is no error on the face of the record warranting to review the order of this Court dated 01.04.2025.

4.Accordingly, this review application is dismissed. No costs.

[J.N.B., J.] & [S.S.Y., J.]
28.04.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No

ta



WEB COPY



REV.APLC.(MD)No.76 of 2025

J.NISHA BANU, J.
AND
S.SRIMATHY, J.

ta

REV.APLC.(MD)No.76 of 2025

28.04.2025