



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 22.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

Martin

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,
The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City, Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palakarai Police Station,
Trichy District.
(In Crime No.Unknown).

3. Thangapalam

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the respondent police to not to harass the petitioner in the guise of an enquiry.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Dinesh Hari Sudarsan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar (R1-R2)
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the respondent police to not to harass the petitioner in the guise of an enquiry.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a dispute in respect of administration of church, thereby, the third respondent lodged a complaint against the petitioner. Taking advantage of the same, the second respondent called the petitioner and harassed him under the guise of enquiry and insisted him to sign in the papers. In this regard, the petitioner has sent a representation on 09.04.2025 and the same has not been considered.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner was the erstwhile Office bearer of the church and the third respondent is the current Office bearer. Based on the complaint of the 3rd respondent, they have called the petitioner only for enquiry purpose. Apart from that they have not harassed the petitioner. However, the enquiry is pending based on complaint lodged by the third respondent.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

4.Considering the prayer sought for by the petitioner, this

Court is inclined to pass orders without issuing notice to the private respondent.

5.According to the petitioner, the respondent police harassed the petitioner on the guise of enquiry and the same was denied by the respondent police and stated that they have called the petitioner for enquiry, based on the complaint lodged by the third respondent.

6.Recording the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, this Court hereby directs the second respondent to dispose of the complaint of the third respondent within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

7.With the above directions and observations, this petition stands disposed of.

22.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
PNM

3/5



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City, Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palakarai Police Station,
Trichy District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P(MD)No.7220 of 2025

22.04.2025