



CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17/04/2025

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

Manikandan

... Petitioner/ Sole Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Vattathikottai Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr. B.Anandan
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.S. Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS
PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 81 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 15.04.2025




CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying
WEB COPY
to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner / Sole Accused apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Section 303(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, r/w. Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.81 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on receiving a secret information, on 05.04.2025, the respondent-Police conducted a vehicle check up at Neyveli Thenpathi Agni river, at that time, the petitioner herein was illegally excavating and transporting $\frac{1}{4}$ unit of river sand by using a Bullock Cart. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.B.Anandan, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person, and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.




CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. Mr.S.S.Manoj, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. He further submits that the minerals were seized from the petitioner. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending and, that at this stage of investigation, if pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he may cause threat to the witnesses and commit the similar offence. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that already the respondent – Police seized the Minerals, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary in this case. The petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility for absconding. Considering the same and taking note of the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, and with a view to give an opportunity to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.



CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

WEB COPY

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai within a period of 15 days from date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai, shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent - police daily at 10.00 am until further orders.

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat



CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him
WEB COPY
from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.

(vii) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly cause any threat to the defacto complainant and tamper with the evidence.

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai.

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283]*.



CRL OP(MD). No.7014 of 2025

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated *supra*.

WEB COPY

sd/-
17/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Pattukkottai
2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Thanjavur District @ Kumbakonam.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Vattathikottai Police Station,
Thanjavur District..
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.B.ANANDAN, Advocate (SR-4402[I] dated 21/04/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7014 of 2025

Date :17/04/2025

VN/29.05.2025 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023