



C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 14.03.2025

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE J. NISHA BANU
AND
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023
and
W.A.(MD)No.SR 31264 of 2023

- 1.The State represented by
Its Secretary,
Department of School Education,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai-600 006.
- 3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Madurai District at Madurai.
- 4.The District Educational Officer,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.

...Petitioners

Vs

- 1.A.Caroline Pushpa
- 2.The Administrator,
The Tamil Evangelical Lutheran Church (TELC),
P.B.Bo.86, Tranquebar House,
Trichy-620 001.



C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023

3.The Correspondent/Advocate Administrator,
TELC Girls Higher Secondary School,
Usilampatti, Madurai District-625 532.

...Respondents/Respondents

PRAYER in C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023: Petition-filed Under Clause 5 of the Limitation Act, to condone the delay of 1188 days in filing the writ appeal.

Prayer in W.A.(MD)No.SR 67069 of 2024:Writ Appeal- filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent Act, to set aside the order dated 22.02.2018 made in W.P. (MD)No.23753 of 2017.

For Petitioners	: Mr.J.Ashok Additional Government Pleader
For R1	: Mr.S.Xavier Rajini
For R2 & R3	: No Appearance

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **J.NISHA BANU, J.**)

This petition is filed to condone the delay of 1188 days in filing the above writ appeal against the order of this Court dated 22.02.2018 in W.P. (MD)No.23753 of 2017.

2.The writ petition filed by the employer of the third respondent School seeking approval of her appointment, was allowed directing the petitioners herein to approve the appointment of the first respondent and disburse all other benefits.



C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023

WEB COPY 3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Additional Government Pleader, appearing for the appellants submits that the order passed by this Court in the writ petition has been complied with.

4. In view of the above submission, nothing remains to be considered further in this petition as well as in the writ appeal. Accordingly, this Civil Miscellaneous Petition is dismissed. Consequently, the writ appeal is also rejected at the SR stage itself. No costs.

(J.N.B.,J.) (S.S.Y.,J.)
14.03.2025

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
ta



WEB COPY



C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023

J. NISHA BANU,J.
and
S.SRIMATHY.

ta

C.M.P.(MD)No.8930 of 2023
and
W.A.(MD)No.SR 31264 of 2023

14.03.2025