



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated: 21.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE **P. DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

V.Chinnamasanam

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil nadu
rep., by The Superintendent of Police,
District Police Office,
Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Irukangudi Police Station,
Irukangudi, Virudhunagar District.

3.Rajaammal

4.Masanamuthu

.. Respondents

Prayer : Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the first and second respondents to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 28.03.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Arunprasanth

For R1 & R2 : Mr.M.Sakthikumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

1/51/5



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed to direct the first and second respondents to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner by considering the representation of the petitioner dated 28.03.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a property dispute between the petitioner and the third and fourth respondents in respect of the property in S.Nos.26/3 and 26/5, Periakollapatti Village. While so, the respondents 3 and 4 have filed a suit as against the petitioner in O.S.No. 24 of 2022 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sattur, and the same was dismissed. Even after that, they restrained the petitioner from enjoying the property and also caused life threat and thereby, the petitioner sent a representation on 28.03.2025 to the second respondent, but the same has not been considered. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, on instructions, would submit that the

2/52/5



CrI.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

representation of the petitioner is under enquiry and the respondents 3 and 4 stated that as against the judgment and decree passed by the trial Court in O.S.No.24 of 2022, they preferred an appeal and the same is pending. However, they will conduct enquiry and dispose of the petitioner's representation within 15 days.

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without issuing the notice to the third and fourth respondents, is inclined to pass orders.

5. According to the petitioner, he sent a representation to the second respondent for providing police protection and the same was not considered. The second respondent also admitted the receipt of the representation and the same is under enquiry. Therefore, this Court directs the second respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 28.03.2025, after affording opportunity to both parties, in accordance with law, and dispose of the same within 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3/53/5



Crl.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. With the above direction, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

21.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Rmk

To:

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
District Police Office,
Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Irukangudi Police Station,
Irukangudi, Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

4/54/5



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

P. DHANABAL,J.

Rmk

CrI.O.P(MD).No.7085 of 2025

21.04.2025

5/55/5