



CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

Dhinakar Perinbaraj

... Petitioner / Sole Accused

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Thisayanvilai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.255 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioner in Crime No.255 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Suresh,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 15.04.2025
under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying



CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.255 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before the respondent-police, stating that the petitioner abused her in filthy language, caused simple injuries, and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.P.Suresh, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the defacto complainant, who is a divorcee, has compelled the petitioner to get married her, for the same petitioner refused to marry the defacto complainant. Hence, a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. He therefore prays for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that the accused



CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

WEB COPY

persons^{*} abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attacked with liquor bottle, caused simple injuries, and threatened her with dire consequences. He further submits that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He further submits that due to injury, the injured was admitted in the hospital on 06.04.2025 and discharged on 08.04.2025. He further submits that the investigation of the case is pending and therefore, at this stage, if the pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he may cause threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses. Hence, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. The petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society and therefore, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the fact that the injured was discharged and the nature of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner, and taking note of the fact that there are no previous cases against the petitioner, and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioner to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before (*)the learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is



CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of (*)the learned Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.

(vii) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.



CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

WEB COPY

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(ix) The petitioner shall also not enter into the defacto complainant's house or her workplace.

(x) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-

22/04/2025

(*)Amended as per the order of this court dated
24.06.2025 made in CRL MP(MD)No.7900 of 2025
in CRL OP(MD)No.6918 of 2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pal



CRL.OP(MD). No.6918 of 2025

To be substituted to the order which is already despatched on 30.05.2025

WEB COPY

1 The Judicial Magistrate, Radhapuram.

2.The Judicial Magistrate, Nanguneri.

3.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,Tirunelveli.

4.The Inspector of Police, Thisayanvilai Police Station, Tirunelveli District.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R.PONKARTHIKEYAN, Advocate (SR-6702[I] dated 24/06/2025)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.6918 of 2025

Date :22/04/2025

PP/27.05.2025 6P/6C

MK/10.07.2025 6P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023