



W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN

W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

1 L.Surya
2 S.Bhuvaneswaran
3 Muruganandham ... Petitioner
Vs

1 The Sub-Registrar,
Woraiyur Sub-Registrar Office,
Woraiyur,
Trichy,
Trichy District.

2 Nirmala
3 Yasodha
4 Thilagavathi
5 Palani
6 Lilly
7 Malar
8 Hema



W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

WEB COPY 9 Valli

10 Lakshmi

11 Sujatha

...

Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a writ of certiorarified mandamus, to call for the records relating to 1st respondent proceedings made in Refusal Check Slip Refusal Number RFL/Woraiyur/70/2025, dated 07.04.2025; quash the same and further direct him to register the release deed, dated 07.03.2025.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Sundar

For Respondent 1 : Mr.N.Ramesh Arumugam,
Govt. Advocate.

ORDER

The petitioner seeks for the following relief :

"Writ of certiorarified mandamus, to call for the records relating to 1st respondent proceedings made in Refusal Check Slip Refusal Number RFL/Woraiyur/70/2025, dated 07.04.2025; quash the same and further direct him to register the release deed, dated 07.03.2025."



W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

WEB COPY

2. It is the case of the petitioners that the property situated in S.F.No.31/3B of Thayanur Village Majara Punganur Village, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli District, measuring 28 cents, belonged to one Nallaiyan. The said Nallaiyan had purchased the property on 07.01.1952. The sale was registered on the file of District Registrar, Tiruchirapalli, as Document No. 72/1952. Nallaiyan passed away on 16.08.1991. His wife Pappathi Ammal also passed away on 30.06.2008. The petitioners and the respondents 2 to 11 are the children born from the wedlock of Nallaiyan and Pappathi Ammal. The respondents 2 to 11 decided to relinquish the property in favour of the male heirs of Nallaiyan. They presented a document on 07.04.2025. The first respondent refused to register the said document, stating that there was a previous document vide Document No.4628 of 2015, covering the entire 28 cents purchased by Nallaiyan. Accordingly, he issued a check slip to that effect. Challenging the same, the present Writ Petition.

3. I have heard Mr.R.Sundar for the petitioner and Mr.N.Ramesh Arumugam, for the first respondent.

4. The ground for refusal is that if the release deed executed by the respondents 2 to 11 is registered in favour of the petitioners 1 to 3, it might result in a double entry. The position of law, as regards double entry, has been settled by a decision of this Court in *T.Senthilvel v. The District*



W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

WEB COPY

Registrar (Administration), in W.P.(MD) No.22114 of 2024, dated 17.10.2024. A learned single Judge of this Court held therein that merely because there is a double entry in respect of the subject property, registration cannot be refused.

5. Following the said judgment, the impugned order is quashed. There shall be a direction to the first respondent to register the release deed executed by the respondents 2 to 11 in favour of the petitioners 1 to 3 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

17.04.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

(2/2)

dixit

To:

The Sub-Registrar,
Woraiyur Sub-Registrar Office,
Woraiyur,
Trichy,
Trichy District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

dixit

W.P.(MD) No.10691 of 2025

(2/2)

17.04.2025