



CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 21.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

Leovins

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.349 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioner in Crime No.349 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Micheal Heldon Kumar,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 09.04.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 2023 read with 21(1) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.349 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the Executive Officer of Subramania Swamy Temple, Panagudi, Tirunelveli District. It is alleged that the petitioner herein, along with other accused persons, illegally excavated 1 unit of gravel sand from the temple land. Consequently, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint with the respondent-police on 08.04.2025. Hence, the complaint.

4. Mr.S.Micheal Heldon Kumar, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions



CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

to be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays to grant an order of pre-arrest
WEB COPY
bail to the petitioner.

5. Per contra, Mr.S.S.Manoj, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there are totally four accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. He further submits that the accused persons were illegally excavating and transporting 1 unit of gravel sand. He further submits that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending and therefore, at this stage, if the pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he will commit similar type of offence and cause loss to wealth of the nation. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. Considering the fact that the vehicle along with allegedly transported sand has already been seized by the respondent-police, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary. Further, the petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of




CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

absconding. Considering the same and also considering the facts and circumstances
WEB COPY
of the case, the nature of the offence, the quantity of gravel sand allegedly excavated and transported by the accused persons and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioner to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.



CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

WEB COPY

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.


(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.

(vii) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.



CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

WEB COPY

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
21/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /


/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.-I/ II /III/ IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pal

To

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VALLIYOOR.

2. DO THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.



CRL.OP(MD). No.6850 of 2025

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PANAGUDI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.MICHEAL HELDON KUMAR, Advocate (SR-4541[I] dated
22/04/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6850 of 2025
Date :21/04/2025

VN/15.05.2025 7P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023