

W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.04.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

and

W.M.P(MD)No.7911 of 2025

Sivakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its the District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

3.The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Batlagundu Range,
Nilakottai,
Dindigul District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Batlagundu,
Dindigul District.

5.Sivasamy M

... Respondents

PRAYER:Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the



W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

respondents 2 to 4 herein to forthwith conduct a fair and proper enquiry on the petitioner's complaints / representations dated 30.03.2025 and to pass appropriate orders, within a time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.M.Vishnu Varthanan


For R1 : Mr.M.Senthil Ayyanar
Government Advocate

For R2 & R3 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 2 to 4 to conduct fair and proper enquiry on the petitioner's representation, dated 30.03.2025 and to pass appropriate orders within the stipulated time.

2.According to the petitioner, he lodged a complaint against the fifth respondent in respect of forging the documents before the fourth respondent on 30.03.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.




W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents 2 to 4 would submit that they received the representation from the petitioner and the same will be considered and disposed of in accordance with law. There is a dispute between the petitioner and the fifth respondent, who is none other than the brother of the petitioner.

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the fifth respondent, is inclined to pass orders in this petition.

5.According to the petitioner, he lodged a complaint against the fifth respondent before the fourth respondent on 30.03.2025, no action was taken. The fourth respondent also admitted the receipt of the complaint from the petitioner and the same is under enquiry.

6. Therefore, this Court directs the fourth respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 30.03.2025 and take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.



W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

17.04.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No

SN

To

1. The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
3. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Batlagundu Range,
Nilakottai,
Dindigul District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Batlagundu,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

SN

W.P(MD)No.10611 of 2025

17.04.2025