



W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 18.07.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025
and
C.M.P(MD)Nos.6866, 9985, 6868 & 9987 of 2025**

W.A(MD)No.1091 of 2025:

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary,
Commissioner of Revenue Administration,
St.George Fort, Chennai.
- 2.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Collectorate,
Madurai District.
- 3.The District Revenue Officer,
Office of the District Revenue Officer,
Collectorate Complex,
Madurai District.

Vs.

... Appellants /
Respondents

S.Karuppiya

... Respondent /
Petitioner



W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order of this Court made in W.P(MD)No.15964 of 2023 dated 26.07.2024.

For Appellants : Mr.Veera.Kathiravan
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.N.Satheesh Kumar
Additional Government Pleader

For Respondent : Mr.M.Karthikeya Venkitachalapathy

W.A(MD)No.1092 of 2025:

1.The Additional Chief Secretary,
Commissioner of Revenue Administration,
St.George Fort, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Collectorate,
Madurai District.

3.The District Revenue Officer,
Office of the District Revenue Officer,
Collectorate Complex,
Madurai District.

... Appellants /
Respondents

Vs.

S.Karuppiya

... Respondent /
Petitioner

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order of this Court made in W.P(MD)No.15965 of 2023 dated 26.07.2024.



WEB COPY



W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025

For Appellants : Mr.Veera.Kathiravan
Additional Advocate General
Assisted by Mr.N.Satheesh Kumar
Additional Government Pleader


For Respondent : Mr.M.Karthikeya Venkitachalapathy

COMMON JUDGMENT

(By G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.)

Heard both sides.

2.It is seen that the subject matter of W.P(MD)No.15964 of 2023 pertains to the year 1998 and in W.P(MD)No.15965 of 2023 the cause of action arose in the year 2016. All that the writ petitioner is said to have done was to give “No Objection Certificate” to enable the assignee / beneficiary to construct a house. Charge memos in this regard were issued virtually a day prior to the writ petitioner's date of retirement. Considering the long delay in issuing the charge memos, we are of the view that the learned single Judge has rightly interfered in favour of the writ petitioner. Interference with the said order is not warranted.



W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025

3. These Writ Appeals are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

WEB COPY

Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

[G.R.S., J.] [K.R.S., J.]

18.07.2025

1/3

NCC : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Index
MGA



WEB COPY



W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J

and

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MGA

W.A(MD)Nos.1091 & 1092 of 2025

18.07.2025

1/3