



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

Manokaran @ Manohar

... Petitioner / Accused No.2

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Taluk Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.27 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioner in Crime No.27 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Vinoth,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 08.04.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent- police for the offence punishable under Sections 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3), 303(2) of BNS, 2023, and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.27 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution, as per the FIR, is that the defacto complainant got married in November 2024. It is alleged that her husband frequently came home in a drunken state and quarreled with her, due to which she went to her parental home. On 23.02.2025 at about 05.00 PM, a discussion for compromise was held between the family members of both sides and some villagers. During the discussion, the accused persons recorded a video, which was questioned by the defacto complainant's father, leading to a quarrel between the two families. In the course of the incident, the accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant and her family members, and the 5th accused is said to have snatched a gold chain from



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

4. Mr.R.Vinoth, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays for grant of pre-arrest bail.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there was a family dispute between the accused persons and the defacto complainant. He further submits that there are totally seven accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A2. He further submits that the accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant and her family members and threatened with dire consequences. He therefore contends that if pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he will cause threat to the defacto complainant and her family members and tamper with the evidence. Therefore, he submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary in this case. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025


Criminal Original Petition.

WEB COPY

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. Considering the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary. Further the petitioner has permanent residence and hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the fact that the petitioner is first offender and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioner to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

WEB COPY


(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police weekly once i.e., on every Sunday at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

WEB COPY
the Court.

(vii) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of

(viii) The petitioner shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or her work place and shall also not try to contact her either directly or through any electronic mode.

(ix) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(x) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
15/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.-I/ II /III/ IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pal

To

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PARAMAKUDI.
2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PARAMAKUDI,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PARAMAKUDI TALUK POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD).No.6812 of 2025

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6812 of 2025
Date :15/04/2025

VN/13.05.2025 8P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023