



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 16.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025

Maheswari

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City.

2. The Assistant Commissioner Of Police,
Perumalpuram,
Tirunelveli City.

3. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

4. Subbulakshmi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner based on the complaint given by the 4th Respondent under the guise of enquiry.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Perumal

For Respondents: Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar (R1-R3)
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner based on the complaint given by the 4th Respondent under the guise of enquiry.

2. According to the petitioner, there was a money dispute between the petitioner and the 4th respondent. The 4th respondent has lodged a false complaint against the petitioner. Based on the same, 3rd respondent called him frequently and interfering in the money dispute between them. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that based on the complaint of the 4th respondent, they have called the petitioner for enquiry and the same was closed. Again the 4th respondent has lodged another complaint as against the petitioner. Therefore, they have called the petitioner for enquiry. Apart from that they have not harassed the petitioner.

4. Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025

respondent, is inclined to pass orders.

WEB COPY

5.According to the petitioner, the respondent police has harassed the petitioner and the same was denied by the 2nd respondent stating that they have called the petitioner for enquiry based on the complaint given by the 4th respondent.

6.Recording the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) this petition is closed.

16.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
PNM

- To
- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Tirunelveli City.
 2. The Assistant Commissioner Of Police,
Perumalpuram, Tirunelveli City.
 3. The Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
 - 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

3/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P(MD)No.6867 of 2025

16.04.2025