

**W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025**

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

WEB COPY

**DATED: 15.04.2025**

**CORAM :**

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL**

**W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025**

V.Thirunakarasu

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep By its,  
Superintendent of Police,  
Thanjavur, Thanjavur District.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Thanjavur Town Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.

3. Hariprasath

4. G.Jeyalakshmi

5. Gowtham

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, order or direction in the nature of a Writ directing the 2nd respondent to take appropriate action on the petitioner complaint and dispose of his complaint registered in CSR No.1009 of 2024 dated 22.10.2024.



WEB COPY



**W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025**

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Maheswaran  
For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi (R1,R2)  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to take appropriate action on the petitioner's complaint and dispose of his complaint registered in CSR No.1009 of 2024 dated 22.10.2024.

2.According to the petitioner, he has lodged a complaint against the respondents 3 to 5 before the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent. Though they have assigned CSR No.1009 of 2024, thereafter, no action has been taken. Hence this petition.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that on receipt of the petitioner's complaint, they have assigned CSR No.1009 of 2024 and the same was closed, after conducting enquiry. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication.



**W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025**

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondents, is inclined to pass orders.


5.According to the petitioner, he lodged a complaint against the private respondents before the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, but no action has been taken. According to the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, the petitioner's complaint was closed after due enquiry. Today, closure report also served to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6.In view of the above, recording the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this petition is closed by preserving the liberty of the petitioner to challenge the closure report in the manner known to law, if he is aggrieved so.

**15.04.2025**

Internet :Yes  
Index :Yes/No  
NCC :Yes/No

PNM



**W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025**

To

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Thanjavur, Thanjavur District.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Thanjavur Town Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN  
W.P(MD)No.10011 of 2025

15.04.2025