



W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 09.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

Lakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Karur District,
Karur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Karur, Karur District.

3. Elango

4. M.Malaisamy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to take appropriate action on the petitioners complaint dated 19.07.2019 against the 3rd and 4th respondents.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Gokulraj

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi (R1,R2)
Additional Public Prosecutor



W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to take appropriate action on the petitioner's complaint dated 19.07.2019 against the 3rd and 4th respondents.

2. According to the petitioner, the respondents 3 and 4 are running a Chit Fund Company and the petitioner has also deposited in the Chit Fund Company to the tune of Rs.16,97,500/- After completion of chit period, the 3rd respondent failed to return back the amount and stating that his daughter is going to get married, therefore, requested some time to return back the said amount. Therefore, the petitioner sent a complaint dated 19.07.2019 before the respondent police, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner has not sent any representation to the respondent police. Per contra, the petitioner has sent representations to the District Collector and the Director General of Police in the year 2019. No complaint is pending with the respondent



W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

police. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication.

WEB COPY

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondents, is inclined to pass orders.

5.The complaint lodged by the petitioner in the year 2017 and the representation lodged in the year 2019 were not pending with the respondent police. Therefore, it is not appropriate to direct the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner. However, the petitioner is at liberty to give a fresh representation to the respondent police, if she has any grievance and if any such representation is received by the respondent police, they can consider the same and take appropriate act in accordance with law.

6.With the above observations and direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

09.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
PNM

3/5



W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Karur District,
Karur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Karur, Karur District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
W.P(MD)No.9982 of 2025

09.04.2025