



CRL.OP(MD) NO.7518 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 29.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.7518 of 2025

1. M.Perumal

2. S.Perumal

3. Annaperumayee

4. Kasammal

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Sindupatty Police Station,
Madurai District.
Cr.No.851 of 2020.

2. Kamaraj

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records in S.T.C. No.1001 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam and quash the same as against the petitioners.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.7518 of 2025

For petitioners : Mr. R.Sundar
For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R2 : Mr.Muthu Mari

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to S.T.C. No.1001 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam for the offences under Sections 294(b) and 323 of IPC, in Crime No.851 of 2020 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners and defacto complainant are living in same village. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 851 of 2020 for the offences under Sections 294(b) and 323 of IPC.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsels on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in S.T.C. No. 1001 of 2022, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.



CRL.OP(MD) NO.7518 of 2025

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are hailing from same village. A compromise memo, dated 27.02.2024 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and S.T.C. No.1001 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Thirumangalam, is quashed as against these petitioners.

29.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd



CRL.OP(MD) NO.7518 of 2025

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Sindupatty Police Station,
Madurai District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.7518 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

CrL.OP(MD) No.7518 of 2025

29.04.2025