



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 25.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

Paramaguru

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Chinnamanur Police Station,
Theni District.
Crime No.418 of 2017.

2. Sanareswaran

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to call for the records relating to the proceedings in C.C.No.16 of 2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam and Quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Jeyasankar

For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.P.Kanga Raj



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

ORDER


WEB COPY

This petition has been filed by the petitioner to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.16 of 2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324, 326 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act in Crime No. 418 of 2017 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioner, based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 418 of 2017 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act .

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.16 of 2019, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and the accused are present and



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise. A compromise memo, dated NIL signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.


5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the parties have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.16 of 2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam is quashed.

25.04.2025

Internet:Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Uthamapalayam.
2. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Chinnamanur Police Station,
Theni District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.6612 of 2025

25.04.2025