



W.P(MD) NO.9833 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD) No.9833 of 2025

D.Sridhar Prabhu

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police
District Crime Branch,
Virudhunagar District.

3.Karthikraja

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the 1st and 2nd Respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 21.03.2025 and consequently, direct the 2nd Respondent to investigate and take necessary action as per law as against the 3rd respondent and others within a stipulated time as may be fixed by this Court.

For petitioner :Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian

For R1 & R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl side)



WEB COPY



W.P(MD) NO.9833 of 2025

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 21.03.2025 and consequently, direct the second respondent to investigate and take necessary action as per law as against the third respondent and others.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner lodged a complaint against the third respondent for job racketeering, but no action was taken by the second respondent. Hence, he sent a representation, dated 21.03.2025, but the same also has not been considered. Hence, he filed this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl side) for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that the petitioner's representation is under enquiry. Further, they called the third respondent and he agrees to return the amount and also paid a part of the amount to the petitioner. However, he has not repaid the entire amount. Therefore, the enquiry is still pending. However, they will complete the enquiry and take appropriate action in accordance with law.



W.P(MD) NO.9833 of 2025

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without issuing notice to the third respondent, is inclined to pass orders.

5. According to the petitioner, he sent a representation to the second respondent as against the third respondent for job racketeering, but no action was taken. The second respondent also admitted the receipt of the representation from the petitioner and the same is under enquiry. Therefore, recording the above said submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl side), this Court directs the second respondent to complete the enquiry and dispose of the same within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.

6. With the above said directions and observations, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

08.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd



W.P(MD) NO.9833 of 2025

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police
District Crime Branch,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD) NO.9833 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

W.P.(MD) No.9833 of 2025

08.04.2025