

CRL.OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**  
**WEB COPY**

DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL**

CRL.OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025

1. Thillairaj

2. Vishnu Raj @ Vishnu

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Represented by the Superintendent of Police,  
Madurai District @ Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Thirumangalam Town Police Station,  
Thirumangalam,  
Madurai District.

3. Mohammed

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, to direct the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents to not to harass the petitioners in the name of enquiry on the false complaint given by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

For Petitioners : Ms.H.Saranya

For R1 and R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi  
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side)



CRL.OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025


## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to direct the respondents no.1 and 2 not to harass the petitioners in the name of enquiry on the false complaint given by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

2. According to the petitioners, there is a dispute between the petitioners and the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in respect of agreement for scrap disposal to sell the machinery and scrap items lying in SIDCO Industrial Estate, Kappalur, Madurai. While so, suppressing the material facts, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent lodged a false complaint against the petitioners and taking advantage of the same, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent frequently called the petitioners and insisted to pay GSTR to the Government. Therefore, they sent a representation dated 03.03.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents no.1 and 2 would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent, they called the petitioners for enquiry and the petitioners have also sent a representation dated




CRL.OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025

03.03.2025 and the same is under consideration. Therefore, they will conduct enquiry on the complaint of the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent as well as the petitioner's representation and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court without even issuing notice to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent inclined to pass orders in this petition.

5. According to the petitioners, the respondents no.1 and 2 harassed the petitioners based on the false complaint lodged by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent. The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has also admitted the complaint lodged by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent as against the petitioners and they called the petitioners for enquiry purpose. Therefore, recording the above said submissions of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, this Court directs the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 03.03.2025 along with the complaint lodged by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent and conduct enquiry and dispose of the same in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of this order.



CRL.OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025

6. With the above said observation and direction, this Criminal

WEB COPY Original Petition is disposed of.

**08.04.2025**

NCC : Yes/No  
Index :Yes / No  
Internet :Yes / No  
Mac

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Madurai District @ Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Thirumangalam Town Police Station,  
Thirumangalam,  
Madurai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025

**P.DHANABAL, J.**

Mac

**CRL. OP(MD) No.6502 of 2025**

**08.04.2025**