



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

Arul Jesuraj

.. Petitioner

Vs.

**1.The Superintendent of Police
Pudukottai District
Pudukottai**

**2. The Inspector of Police
Alangudi Police Station
Alangudi,
Pudukottai District**

**3. Pilavendran
4. Antony Mercy
5. Innasi Ammal**

.. Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to direct the respondents 1 and 2, to give necessary police protection to the petitioner's property situated in S.No.94/5C-0.05.0 Ares, 94/8-0.11.50 Ares, 94/9-0.11.50 Ares, 94/19A-0.19.50 Ares and in total Extent of 0.48.0 Ares on the basis of the petitioner's compliant dated 04.01.2024 and on the basis of the judgment and decree passed in favour of the petitioner in O.S.No.3 of 2010 on the file of the Sub Court, Pudukottai dated 09.08.2011 within a time frame fixed by this Court.

1/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thaveethu


For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
No.1 and 2 : Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
No.3 to 5 : Mr.Na.Palaniyandi

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner to to direct the respondents 1 and 2, to give necessary police protection to the petitioner's property situated in S.No.94/5C-0.05.0 Ares, 94/8-0.11.50 Ares, 94/9-0.11.50 Ares, 94/19A-0.19.50 Ares and in total Extent of 0.48.0 Ares on the basis of the petitioner's compliant dated 04.01.2024 and on the basis of the judgment and decree passed in favour of the petitioner in O.S.No.3 of 2010 on the file of the Sub Court, Pudukottai dated 09.08.2011 within a time frame fixed by this Court.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the property and he also got civil decree in his favour in O.S.No.3 of 2010 on the file of the Sub Court, Pudukottai dated 09.08.2011, as against the third respondent one Kashmir. Inspite of that they caused hindrance to the plaintiff's possession and enjoyment over the property thereby the petitioner has sent a representation dated

2/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

04.01.2024 seeking police protection but no action was taken, hence the present petition was filed

WEB COPY

3. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that already the representation of the petitioner was considered and the same was closed, therefore nothing survives for further adjudication in this case.

4. Considering the prayer sought for in the petition this Court without even issuing notice to the private respondents is inclined to pass orders. According to the petitioner he got decree in his favour in respect of property situated in S.No.94/5C-0.05.0 Ares, 94/8-0.11.50 Ares, 94/9-0.11.50 Ares, 94/19A-0.19.50 Ares and he also got decree in O.S.No.3 of 2010 on the file of the Sub Court, Pudukottai, inspite of that the respondents are causing disturbance to the petitioner and also made life threat to the petitioner. According to the second respondent already the representation of the petitioner was considered and the same was closed hence no further order is required to be passed in this case. However if the private respondents indulged in any criminal activities the petitioner is at liberty to approach the second respondent with specific



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

compliant.

WEB COPY

5. With the above direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands closed.

15.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
aav

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police
Pudukottai District
Pudukottai
2. The Inspector of Police
Alangudi Police Station
Alangudi,
Pudukottai District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

P.DHANABAL,J.

aaV

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6288 of 2024

15.04.2025