



W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED :05.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDER

W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

V.Thangapandi

... Petitioner(s)

Vs.

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District 625 532.

2. The Tahsildar,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District 625 532.

3. The Zonal Deputy Tahsildar,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District 625 532.

4. The Village Administrative Officer,
Kalluthu Village, Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District.

5. C.Perumayee
6. Ochchammal
7. Chinnachamy

... Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 3rd Respondent



W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

vide his proceedings 2024/0103/24/364438TR dated 28.12.2024 quash the same as illegal and directing the 2nd Respondent to issue joint patta in favour of petitioner and his brother and sister, in respect of the properties situated at survey no.160/1C2, 160/2A, 160/4A1 and 85/1A2 in kalluthu village, Usilampatti Taluk, Madurai District.

For Petitioners :Mr.G. Dharmaraj

For Respondent :Mr.B.Ramanathan
Addl. Government Pleader for R1 to R4
M/s.J.Senthil Kumaraiah for R5 to R7

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned proceedings dated 28.12.2024 passed by the third respondent.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials available on record.

3. The only ground raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was not put on notice before the impugned orders were passed.

4. Learned Additional Government Pleader appearing on behalf of



W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

the respondents 1 to 4 fairly concedes that there is no specific averment in the impugned proceedings to indicate that notice was served on the petitioner.

5. Since the petitioner had not been put on notice before the impugned proceeding was passed, the impugned order is set aside and the matter is remanded to the third respondent for fresh consideration. The third respondent shall issue notice to all concerned parties and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the parties, pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law.

6. With the above observation and directions, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

(K.SURENDER, J)
05.02.2026

NCC :Yes/No
Internet :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
PKN

To
1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Usilampatti Taluk,

3/5



W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

WEB COPY

Madurai District 625 532.

2. The Tahsildar,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District 625 532.

3. The Zonal Deputy Tahsildar,
Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District 625 532.

4. The Village Administrative Officer,
Kalluthu Village, Usilampatti Taluk,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

K.SURENDER, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD)No.33082 of 2025

05.02.2026