



CRL.OP(MD) No.6732 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 15.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.6732 of 2025

Kanthasamy

... Petitioner

Vs.,

1.The State of Tamil nadu
rep., by the Inspector of Police,
Ambilikkai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Crime No.881 of 2020

2.Sasiram

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, to call for the entire records pertaining to the proceedings in C.C.No.57 of 2022 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchathiram, Dindigul and quash the same as far as the petitioner is concerned.

Page No. 1 of 5



CRL.OP(MD) No.6732 of 2025

WEB COPY

For petitioner : Mr.B.P.Raghavan

For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

For R2 : Mr.R.L.Dhilipan Pandian

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.57 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchathiram, Dindigul District, for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.881 of 2020 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioner, based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No.881 of 2020 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(i) of IPC.



CRL.OP(MD) No.6732 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.57 of 2022, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and all the petitioner are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise and now, they settled the matter amicably. A compromise memo, dated 15.04.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences and the parties have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.



CRL.OP(MD) No.6732 of 2025

WEB COPY 7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.57 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchathiram, Dindigul District, is quashed.

**15.04.2025
(1/2)**

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Rmk

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Oddanchathiram, Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Ambilikkai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) No.6732 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

Rmk

ORDER IN
CrI.OP(MD) No.6732 of 2025

15.04.2025
(1/2)