



Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 6584 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED :09.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.6584 of 2025

Manthirammoorthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil nadu
through the Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District, Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station,
Tirunelveli.

3.R.Balammal

...Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to direct the second respondent not to
harass the petitioner and not to interfere in civil dispute based on the
petitioner's representation dated 22.03.2025.

For Petitioner	: Mr.T.Selvan
For R1 & R2	: Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
	Additional Public Prosecutor (crl.side)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 6584 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner and not to interfere in civil dispute based on the petitioner's representation dated 22.03.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a money dispute between him and the third respondent, for which, the third respondent lodged a false complaint against the petitioner and taking advantage of the same, the second respondent frequently called the petitioner for enquiry by interfering with the money dispute. Therefore, he sent a representation on 22.03.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (crl.side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, on instructions, submits that based on the complaint lodged by the third respondent, enquiry was conducted and closed. However, the representation of the petitioner is alone pending. Only for conducting enquiry on the third respondent's complaint, they called the petitioner and they have not harassed the petitioner as alleged by him.

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without issuing notice to the third respondent, is inclined to pass order.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 6584 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. The prayer sought for in this petition is to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner. The second respondent denied the alleged harassment and submits that only on the third respondent's complaint, the petitioner was called, in which, enquiry was conducted and closed. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication in this petition. In view of the same, recording the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (crl.side), this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

09.04.2025

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes

Rmk

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District, Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station,
Tirunelveli.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 6584 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

Rmk

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6584 of 2025

09.04.2025