



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 04.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

David Salmon

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Nanguneri,
Tirunelveli District.

3. Dhanam

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry by considering the petitioners representation dated 01.04.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Susikumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi(R1-R2)
Additional Public Prosecutor



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry by considering the petitioner's representation, dated 01.04.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, there is a dispute between the Petitioner and the 3rd Respondent. Thereby, the 3rd Respondent lodged a complaint against the Petitioner. Taking advantage of the same, the 2nd Respondent frequently called the Petitioner for enquiry. Therefore, the Petitioner sent a representation on 01.04.2025 and the same has not been considered. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the 3rd Respondent, they have called the Petitioner for enquiry. Apart from that, the 2nd Respondent has not harassed the Petitioner. Now, enquiry is pending and they will conduct enquiry and dispose the same within a period of one week.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondent, is inclined to pass orders.

5.According to the Petitioner, 2nd Respondent has harassed the Petitioner under the guise of enquiry. However, the 2nd Respondent denied the alleged harassment and stated that they have only called the Petitioner only for enquiry, based on the complaint lodged by the 3rd Respondent.

6.In view of the same, recording the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police, this Court hereby directs the 2nd Respondent to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner and the complaint of the 3rd Respondent, after conducting enquiry, within a period of one week from the date of this order, in accordance with law.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

7.With the above observations and direction, this Criminal

Original Petition is disposed of.

04.04.2025

Internet :Yes

Index :Yes/No

NCC :Yes/No

PNM

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Nanguneri,
Tirunelveli District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P(MD)No.6242 of 2025

04.04.2025