



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6190 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.04.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6190 of 2024

M.Ayyanan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Superintendent of Police
The District Superintendent of Police Office
Dindigul
2. The Inspector of Police
Natham Police Station
Natham, Dindigul District
3. Chicken Pandi
4. Mani
5. Arul @ Arulselvan
6. Vanitha
7. Pandi
8. Surya
9. Jeya
10. Lakshmi

.. Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to direct respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner based on the representation made by the petitioner to the respondents 1 and 2 on 31.3.2024.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6190 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Manoharan

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
No.1 and 2 : Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
No.3 to 7,9 &10 : No appearance
No.8 : Mr.S.Paul Murugesh

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner to to direct respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner based on the representation made by the petitioner to the respondents 1 and 2 on 31.3.2024.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the private respondent cause life threat for the money borrowed by the daughter-in-law and they also threatened with obscene words and thereby he sent a representation dated 31.03.2024 but no action was taken, hence the present petition was filed.

3. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that the daughter of the petitioner had borrowed money to the tune of more than Rs.2 crores from various persons



and the money lenders have also searched the daughter of the petitioner.

Apart from that no any occurrence happened as alleged in the petition.

However the representation of the petitioner was already considered and the same was closed on 06.04.2024, thereby nothing survives for further adjudication in this petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the eighth respondent would submit that the daughter of the petitioner borrowed money from the eighth respondent and when asked to repay the loan amount the petitioner made quarrel with the private respondents and therefore inorder to evade from the payment the petition has been filed.

5. Considering the prayer sought for in the petition this Court without even issuing notice to the private respondents is inclined to pass orders. Since the representation of the petitioner was already considered and the same was closed nothing survives for further adjudication in required in this case. However the petitioner is at liberty to lodge a fresh complaint if any criminal activities done by the private respondents.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6190 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. Recording the same, the Criminal Original Petition stands closed.

15.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
aav

To

1. The District Superintendent of Police
The District Superintendent of Police Office
Dindigul
2. The Inspector of Police
Natham Police Station
Natham, Dindigul District
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6190 of 2024

P.DHANABAL,J.

aaV

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6190 of 2024

15.04.2025