



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.6266 of 2025

1.Mahendra Raja

2.Sivarajan

3.Amirtharajan

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its

1.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City.
(Crime No.14 of 2023)

2. Subbulakshmi

...Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned FIR in Crime No.14 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police and Quash the same to the petitioners are concerned and pass such other orders as this Hon'ble Court.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Raghunathan

For R-1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R-2 : Mr.S.Paul Murugesh



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

WEB COPY


ORDER

The petitioners sought for quashing of the impugned FIR in Crime No. 14 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent police, for the alleged offences under Sections 406, 420 and 506 (i) IPC.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that due to money dispute, the petitioners had abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also cheated her.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the defacto complainant/the second respondent would submit that the parties have now entered into a compromise on the advise of the elders and well-wishers and both the parties have agreed that the impugned F.I.R may be quashed.

4. The parties have also filed a joint compromise memo dated 23rd December 2024 as well as the affidavit of the defacto complainant. The scanned copy of the compromise memo reads as follows:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Crl.OP(MD)No. _____ of 2024
In
Crime No. 14 of 2023
(on the file of the Respondent Police)

3

1.Mahendra Raja(M/38),
S/o,Sivaprakasam,
No.5, Shreeram Tower kauvery nagar,
Chinthamani,
Trichy District.

2.Sivarajan (M/45),
S/o. Sivaprakasam,
No.4, Shreeram Tower kauvery nagar,
Chinthamani,
Trichy District

3.Amirtharajan (M/50),
S/o. Sivaprakasam,
No.6, Shreeram Tower kauvery nagar,
Chinthamani,
Trichy District

Vs

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

The state rep by its
1. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City
Crime No. 14 of 2023


...1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Subbulakshmi,
W/o, Ganesan,
Residing at No.135,
Gogula Ngar,
Kattur, Trichy District .

...2nd Respondent /Defacto
Complainant

Page No:1
Corner :Nil

Subbulakshmi



WEB COPY 4

2


**AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT / DEFACTO
COMPLAINANT**

I, Subbulakshmi, W/o, Ganesan, Hindu aged about years, residing at No.135, Gogula Ngar,Kattur, Trichy District now temporarily come down to Madurai do hereby solemnly affirm and sincerely state as follows:

1. I am the 2nd respondent/ defacto complainant herein in the above criminal case and as such I am well acquainted with the facts of the case.
2. I submit that, I am the 2nd respondent/defacto complainant in the Criminal case in Crime No. 14 of 2023 on the file of the 1st respondent police herein for an alleged Offence under sections 406, 420 and 506(i) of IPC and the investigation is pending.
3. I further submit that, myself and the 1st petitioner/petitioner/accused are known to each other. I further submit that, due to a money dispute present criminal case has been given by me against the petitioners/Accused before the 2nd respondent police.
4. I further submit that, during the pendency of the above criminal case, the well wishers of our both the family elders and the well wishers of the friends are advised myself and the 1st petitioner/Accused in the interest of our personal life's and accordingly myself and the 1st petitioner/accused were compromised ourselves amicably in out of court. Therefore, I am not interested to proceed with the above criminal case against the petitioners/Accused. Moreover I have no objection regarding withdrawal of the cash deposit made in the crime No. 14 of 2023 amount by the 3rd petitioner. In view of the facts, already we have filed joint compromise memo before this Hon'ble court. Hence, the above my statements may kindly be recorded.

Page No:2
Corner :Nil

Subbulakshmi



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

WEB COPY

3


It is therefore I prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to Accept this affidavit filed by me and to quash in impugned FIR in Crime No. 14 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police and allow the Criminal original petition and thus render justice.

S. Subhakar Sundar

Solemnly affirmed at Madurai
On this ~~18th~~ day of december 2024
And signed his name in my presence.

*Before me
K. Ramesh
T. K. Ramesh
No. 133, Law Chambers
High Court Building
Madurai-23*

Page No:3
Corner ; *HPK*



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

WEB COPY

6

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Crl.OP(MD)No. of 2024
In
Crime No. 14 of 2023
(on the file of the Respondent Police)**

1.Mahendra Raja(M/38),
S/o,Sivaprakasam,
No.5, Shreeram Tower kauvery nagar,
Chinthamani,
Trichy District.

2.Sivarajan (M/45),
S/o. Sivaprakasam,
No.4, Shreeram Tower kauvery nagar,
Chinthamani,
Trichy District

3.Amirtharajan (M/50),
S/o. Sivaprakasam,
No.6, Shreeram Tower kauvery nagar,
Chinthamani,
Trichy District

Vs

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

The state rep by its
1. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City
Crime No. 14 of 2023


...1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Subbulakshmi,
W/o, Ganesan,
Residing at No.135,
Gogula Ngar,
Kattur, Trichy District .

...2nd Respondent /Defacto
Complainant

1. Mahendraj
2. S. S. Srinivasan
3. S. Anitha Devi

1. x Subbulakshmi - Gogula



WEB COPY

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO FILED BY BOTH THE PARTIES


1. It is submitted that, there are 4 accused in this case and the Petitioners are Accused No.1 to 3, in the Crime No. 14 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police herein for an alleged Offence under sections U/s 406, 420, 506(i) of IPC.

2. It is pertinent to mention that, the Petitioners/Accused No. 1 to 3 and the de facto complainant are not know each other and due to the money dispute regarding loan transaction between the petitioners and the de facto complainant the present criminal case was registered against the petitioners and others and further the 4th accused is concerned is only mediator of petitioners and the de facto complainant in the loan transaction and thereafter, no nexus between them. Hence, not file quash petition.

3. It is submitted that, during the pendency of the above criminal case, the above loan transaction dispute was amicably settled between the parties and more particularly the entire loan amount was settled by the petitioners to the Punjab national bank, Nandi kovil branch, trichy city in this record no dues certificate issued by the bank authority, dated on 24.07.2024. Accordingly both parties have compromised themselves to amicable settlement between them and the defacto complainant has not interested to proceed with the above criminal case . Hence, it is just and necessary to file this compromise memo before this Hon'ble Court and record the same and dispose of the above Crl.O.P. Hence the above may kindly be recorded.

1. Mahalingam
2. S. S. S. S.
3. S. S. S. S.

1. x Subhash Kumar



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

WEB COPY

3

8

It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the above compromise arrived between the petitioners and the defacto complainant are concerned amicably and prays this Hon'ble Court to quash in Crime No. 14 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police and the allow the Criminal original petition with direction to refund the 3rd petitioners cash deposit in the crime No.14 of 2023 and pass such other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai on this ^{23rd} day of December 2024

1. Mahalingam

1. * Subhaskar A. Arumugam

2. S. S. Srinivasan

3. S. S. Srinivasan

Petitioner/Accused

De- facto Complainant

Counsel for Petitioner

Counsel for de-facto Complainant



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. The petitioners and the second respondent (Aadhaar No.4406 3279 5830) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by M/s.S.Mahalakshmi, S.S.I., CCB, Trichy City. Both the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

6. Considering the fact that the F.I.R discloses predominantly a money dispute and the parties have arrived at a compromise, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the impugned FIR pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIR in Crime No. 14 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent police, is hereby quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

12.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
LS
To

1.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Trichy City.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

9/10



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6266 of 2025

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

LS

Crl.O.P(MD).No.6266 of 2025

12.09.2025