



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6338 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 07.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.6338 of 2025

Kantha Sastha

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thallakulam, Madurai City.

2.Iswarya

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records pertaining to the charge sheet in C.C.No.1982 of 2023, on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Madurai and quash the same.

For petitioner : Mr.A.Chandrasekaran

For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.Poornachandran



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6338 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY This petition has been filed by the petitioner to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.1982 of 2023, on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, for the offences under Sections 354(b), 354(c) and 506(i) of IPC and Section 67-A of the Information Technology Act, in Crime No. 61 of 2022 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner and defacto complainant are close relatives. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No.61 of 2022 for the offences under Sections 354(b), 354(c) and 506(i) of IPC and Section 67-A of the Information Technology Act.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsels on both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No. 1982 of 2023, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the petitioner, who is working in Indian Army, appeared



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6338 of 2025

through video conferencing mode and the defacto-complainant is present before this Court in person and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are close relatives and she wants to give quietus to this matter. A compromise memo, dated 14.02.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.


5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.1982 of 2023, on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, is quashed.

07.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6338 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1.The Additional Judge,
The Additional Mahila Court,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thallakulam, Madurai City.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6338 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

CrI.OP(MD) No.6338 of 2025

07.04.2025