



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 04.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

Reguram

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Usilampatti Division,
Madurai District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Town Police Station,
Madurai District.

4. Karuppusamy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the 2nd and 3rd respondents herein not to harass the petitioner and his family member and not to interfere the civil dispute on the guise of enquiry and except in accordance with law



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Shakulhameed

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi(R1-R3)
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the 2nd and 3rd respondents herein not to harass the petitioner and his family member and not to interfere the civil dispute on the guise of enquiry.

2.According to the Petitioner, based on the complaint lodged by the 4th Respondent for enquiry, the 3rd Respondent called the Petitioner for enquiry. Taking advantage of the same, the 3rd Respondent used to harass the Petitioner. In this regard, the petitioner has sent a representation on 27.03.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence, this petition.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that based on the complaint lodged by the 4th Respondent, they have called the petitioner for enquiry. However, without appearing for enquiry, the petitioner has filed this petition. They will conduct enquiry and dispose the same today itself.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

4.Considering the prayer sought for by the petitioner, this

Court is inclined to pass orders without issuing notice to the private respondents.

5.According to the Petitioner, the Respondents 2 and 3 harassed the Petitioner for a civil dispute. According to the 3rd Respondent, they have not harassed the petitioner and only based on the complaint of the 4th Respondent, they have called the Petitioner for enquiry and they will complete the enquiry today itself.

6.Recording the aforesaid submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this petition stands closed.


04.04.2025

Internet :Yes

Index :Yes/No

NCC :Yes/No

PNM



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Usilampatti Division, Madurai District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Usilampatti Town Police Station, Madurai District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P(MD)No.6248 of 2025

04.04.2025