



CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 01.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

Rasu

... Petitioner / Accused No.2

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kulitalai Police Station,
Karur District.
(Crime No.182 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioner in Crime No.182 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kasirajan,
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 27.03.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent-police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS, 2023 read with 21(1) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.182 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, who has been serving as the Village Administrative Officer, was on duty on 26.03.2025 at around 2:00 p.m. Based on information received, he, along with the Revenue Inspector of Kulithalai Sub-Division, proceeded to the Kulithalai-Manapparai Road near Iyyermalai Government Higher Secondary School. At the said location, a 407 model vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-43-Y-0570 was found transporting approximately two units of white Aralai stones towards the northern direction. Upon intercepting the vehicle and questioning the driver / A1, he failed to give a satisfactory response. During further inquiry, the accused admitted that the Aralai



CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

WEB COPY

stones were being transported without valid authorization or permit, thereby constituting illegal transportation of minerals. Subsequent investigation revealed that the vehicle was owned by the petitioner, who has been arrayed as A2 in this case. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.S.Kasirajan, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. Per contra, Mr.S.S.Manoj, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that there are totally two accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A2. He further submits that the accused persons were illegally excavating and transporting 4 units of Aralai stones. He further submits that there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He further submits that the investigation of the case is still pending and therefore, at this stage, if the pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he will commit similar




CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

type of offence and cause loss to wealth of the nation. Accordingly, he prays to
WEB COPY
dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. Considering the fact that the vehicle along with allegedly transported sand has already been seized by the respondent-police, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary. Further, the petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, the quantity of Aralai Stones allegedly excavated and transported by the accused persons and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioner to reform himself, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kulitalai, Karur District, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees



CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/-
WEB COPY
(Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate
No.II, Kulitalai, Karur District.

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.


(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.

(vii) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.



CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

WEB COPY

(viii) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.

(ix) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
01/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/04/2025

Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

PAL

To

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
KULITALAI, KARUR DISTRICT.

2.THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARUR DISTRICT.



CRL.OP(MD). No.5967 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KULITALAI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.

4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to KASIRAJAN S Advocate SR.No.3818 (I) DT.03/04/2025

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5967 of 2025
Date :01/04/2025

PR/29.04 .2025 7P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023