

W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

TRK. Kumara Vijayan

... Petitioner

Vs


1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Valanadu Police Station,
Valanadu,
Marungapuri Taluk,
Trichy District.

3. Thirumalai @ Maharaja

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to give adequate police protection for the life and limb of tenants and their workers, enabling them to cultivate in to the temple



W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

property at SF Nos. 157/1, 157/3, 158/1 and 158/3 situated at Vellayakkonpatti Revenue Village, Marungapuri Taluk, Trichy District in peaceful manner, on the basis of petitioner's representation dated 27.02.2025.


For Petitioner : Mr. T. Vadivelan,

For R1 and R2 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent police to give adequate police protection for the life and limb of tenants and their workers, enabling them to cultivate in the temple property at S.F.Nos. 157/1, 157/3, 158/1 and 158/3 situated at Vellayakkonpatti Revenue Village, Marungapuri Taluk, Trichy District, on the basis of petitioner's representation dated 27.02.2025.

2.According to the petitioner, he is the hereditary trustee of the said temple. While so, the temple properties were entrusted to the



W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

tenants for cultivation, but the third respondent very often interfering with the possession of the tenants and also causing disturbance to the administration of the temple. Therefore, the petitioner sent the representation dated 27.02.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.

3.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner sought for police protection for the said properties. Since there is a dispute between the parties, police protection cannot be granted for the disputed properties unless specific decree granted by the competent civil Court.

4.Considering the prayer sought for in this petition and the petitioner's representation is pending with the second respondent, this Court directs the second respondent to conduct enquiry and consider the petitioner's representation within a period of one week from the date of this order, after affording opportunity to both the parties, in accordance with law.



W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

5. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

WEB COPY

07.04.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No

LR

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Valanadu Police Station,
Valanadu,
Marungapuri Taluk,
Trichy District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

LR

W.P(MD)No.9522 of 2025

07.04.2025