



CRL OP(MD). No.5924 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/04/2025

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. SAKTHIVEL

CRL OP(MD). No.5924 of 2025

1. Karthikeyan @ Purakarthi,
2. Veeramuthu Alias Dineshkumar,
3. Jaikumar Alias Jeykumar,

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep.,
By, the Inspector of Police
Ganesh Nagar Police Station,
Pudukkottai District
(Crime No. 75 of 2025).

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner	: Mr. Rameshkumar.D., Advocate.
For Respondent	: Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CRL OP(MD). No.5924 of 2025

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

WEB COPY

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No. 75 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners on 27.03.2025 under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant bail.

2. The petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 3 were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.03.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 118 (1), 109(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.75 of 2025, on the file of the respondent-Police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner demanded back the amount from the defacto complainant, which he borrowed from one Chinniah. Hence, the defacto complainant questioned his right to demand the said amount and he refused to pay the said amount to the petitioner. Due to such motive, on 09.03.2025, at about 03.00 p.m., the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also assaulted him with stones thereby causing bleeding injuries. They also threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences.



CRL OP(MD). No.5924 of 2025

Hence, the complaint.

WEB COPY

4. Mr.D.Rameshkumar, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 10.03.2025 and they are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays for granting bail to the petitioners.

5. Per contra, Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent-police, submits that the first petitioner is having three previous cases and the petitioners 2 and 3 are having one previous case each. He further submits that the investigation is not yet completed. He contends that, if the petitioner is released on bail, he may cause threat to the defacto complainant. Hence, he prays for dismissal of this petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the bad



CRL OP(MD). No.5924 of 2025

antecedents of the petitioners and taking note of the fact that if bail is granted to the
WEB COPY
petitioners, he may cause threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses, this
Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
09/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

vsg

TO

1.The Inspector of Police
Ganesh Nagar Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.

2.THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUDUKKOTTAI.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.5924 of 2025

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5924 of 2025

Date :09/04/2025

VN/08.05 .2025 5P/4C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023