



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

M/s.Perinyx Neep Private Limited,
Represented by its Authorised Signatory,
Babu,
Havi Registered Office at
41/C, Grand Paradai,
Kemps Corner,
Mumbai.

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
Sattakulam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.179 of 2023).

2. J.Vignesh Kumar

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to directing the 1st respondent police not to harass the petitioner in a civil dispute among the parties.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mrs.A.Lakshmi
For Polax Legal Solutions,

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.V.Rajiv Rufus

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent police not to harass the petitioner in a civil dispute among the parties.

2.According to the petitioner, he is the authorised signatory of the company and already entered into agreement with TANGEDCO for installation of solar power plant and already based on the complaint given by the second respondent, the first respondent registered a case in crime No.179 of 2023 and the same is pending. While so, the first respondent, at the instigation of the second respondent, insisted the



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

petitioner to settle the money to the second respondent. Hence this
petition.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that already based on the complaint given by the second respondent, the FIR in Crime No.179 of 2023 was registered. Only for the investigation purpose, the respondent police issued summons to the petitioner, but he has not appeared for enquiry in turn, he filed this petition. Therefore, the respondent police have not harassed the petitioner and only called the petitioner for interrogation purpose.

4.The learned Counsel for the second respondent would submit that the first respondent, without any authority having the power transmission lines through the petitioner's land and thereby, the complaint has been lodged already before the first respondent, for which, the said FIR was registered.

5.Since the prayer of the petitioner is only not to harass and the first respondent also denied the alleged harassment and only they called



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

the petitioner for investigation purpose and issued summons, recording
the same, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

17.03.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Sattakulam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5752 of 2024

17.03.2025