



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6050 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 02.04.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6050 of 2025

Prakash

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep by the Commissioner of Police,
Madurai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch,
Viswanathapuram,
Madurai Municipal Corporation,
Madurai.

3.Kabil Mohammed

... Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the second respondent police not to harass under the guise of police enquiry.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.K.Samy

For R1 & R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)




ORDER

WEB COPY

This petition is filed by the petitioner to direct the second respondent police not to harass the petitioner under the guise of police enquiry.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is one of the victims in the online fraud. While so, the third respondent lodged a complaint against the petitioner and based on that complaint, already the previous Inspector of Police/second respondent conducted the enquiry and closed the same. Again now, the third respondent lodged a complaint before the present Inspector of Police/second respondent and thereby, the second respondent called the petitioner for enquiry. Already, the petitioner appeared for enquiry before the previous officer. In spite of the same, frequently, they called the petitioner for enquiry. Therefore, he filed this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that based on the complaint given by the third respondent, they called the petitioner for enquiry and the enquiry is still pending. Further, they have not harassed the petitioner as alleged by him.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6050 of 2025

However, they will complete the enquiry within a period of 15 days.

WEB COPY

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without issuing notice to the third respondent, is inclined to pass orders.

5. According to the petitioner, the second respondent harassed the petitioner by frequently calling the petitioner for enquiry. According to the second respondent, based on the complaint given by the third respondent, they called the petitioner for enquiry and they will complete the same within 15 days.


6. In view of the same, recording the abovesaid submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), this Court directs the second respondent to complete the enquiry within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.

5. With the above direction, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

02.04.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
apd

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6050 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch,
Viswanathapuram,
Madurai Municipal Corporation,
Madurai.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6050 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.,

apd

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.6050 of 2025

02.04.2025