WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 01.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5928 of 2024

Vinoth .. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police
   District Police Crime
   Dindigul, Dindigul District

2. The Inspector of Police
   District Crime Branch
   Dindigul, Dindigul District

3.Saravanvan .. Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to direct the second respondent herein to not to harass the petitioner and not to interefere in the civil dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent in the light of the petitioner's representation dated 24.03.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sundar

For Respondents No.1 and 2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

No.3 : Mr. D.Venkatesh

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the second respondent herein to not to harass the petitioner and not to interefere in the civil dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent in the light of the petitioner's representation dated 24.03.2025.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that there is a dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent in respect of purchase of property for which the petitioner and the third respondent entered into agreement. Now the third respondent lodged a complaint against the petitioner before the second respondent, taking advantage of the same the second respondent is insisting the petitioner to return back the original documents without paying money. Therefore the petitioner has sent a representation dated 24.03.2024 but the same has not been considered, hence the present petition has been filed.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the third respondent would submit that he is the owner of the property and he entered into agreement with the petitioner in respect of sale of property. The petitioner also paid a

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

sum of Rs.2Crores and 11 lakhs and the third respondent also entrusted the original document to the petitioner and thereafter the petitioner is unable to pay the balance amount and to get the sale deed in his favour. While so the third respondent is ready to pay the above amount received by him from the petitioner but the petitioner failed to receive the amount and to return the documents, therefore he lodged complaint before the second respondent.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that based on the complaint given by the third respondent they called the petitioner for enquiry and they have not harassed the petitioner as alleged by him in the petition. However they will complete the enquiry within a period of one week from the date of this order.

5. Considering the limited scope of prayer sought for in this petition and also recording the submission made by the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) that they have not harassed the petitioner this Court directs the second respondent to conduct enquiry and dispose of the same within a period of one week from the date of this order.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

6. With the above direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

01.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
aav

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
District Police Crime
Dindigul, Dindigul District

2. The Inspector of Police
District Crime Branch
Dindigul, Dindigul District

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

P.DHANABAL,J.

aav

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5928 of 2024

01.04.2025

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis