



*W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025*

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 01.04.2025**

**CORAM:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH**

**W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025**

Raman

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Passport Officer,  
Regional Passport Office,  
Thanjavur.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
K.Pudupatti Police Station,  
Pudukkottai District.

: Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to renew and re-issue a passport to the petitioner by considering his passport Application vide file No.ARN 251002856629 dated 10.03.2025 within a stipulated period as prescribed by this Honourable court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Suresh

For Respondents : Mr.R.Senthil Kumar

CGSC for R1



WEB COPY



*W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025*

Mr.K.Gnanasekaran  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)  
for R2

### **ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the first respondent to renew and re-issue the passport to the petitioner in Application No.ARN 251002856629, dated 10.03.2025, within the time stipulated by this Court.

2.The petitioner has made an application in File No. ARN 251002856629, to renew his passport. However, upon receiving an adverse police verification report, the first respondent refused to issue the passport. Hence, this Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.) appearing for the second respondent, on instructions, submitted that Crime No.44 of 2019 culminated in laying charge sheet in C.C.No.126 of 2019, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam, Pudukottai District.



*W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025*

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the entire materials available on record.

5. The petitioner is given liberty to move the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam, Pudukottai District, for the relief now sought for. As and when such miscellaneous petition is filed, it shall be numbered and disposed of within a period of three weeks thereafter. The learned Trial Judge shall bear in mind that right to travel abroad is a fundamental right. The petitioner's career and avocation may be at stake if he is denied the right to go abroad. Therefore, the learned Trial Court unless there are extraordinary circumstances shall not deny relief. Of course, the period of validity of passport will have to be restricted. It is also open to the learned Trial Judge to stipulate appropriate conditions to ensure that the prosecution is not stalled on account of the petitioner's absence from India. If necessary, the applicant can be directed to file an application under Section 228 of Bharatiya Nyaya Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, by executing a special vakalat.

6. Based on the order passed by the learned Trial Court, the petitioner shall move the jurisdictional Regional Passport Officer who



***W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025***

WEB COPY

shall dispose of the petition~mentioned application in terms of the said order.

7. This Writ Petition is allowed on the above terms. There shall be no order as to costs.

**01.04.2025**

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

PKN



***W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025***

To

WEB COPY

1. The Passport Officer,  
Regional Passport Office,  
Thanjavur.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
K.Pudupatti Police Station,  
Pudukkottai District.
3. Learned Judicial Magistrate, Thirumayam, Pudukkottai District.



WEB COPY



***W.P.(MD)No.8834 of 2025***

**VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.**

PKN

**W.P.(MD) No.8834 of 2025**

**01.04.2025**