



W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH

W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

and

W.M.P.(MD)Nos.7372 and 7373 of 2025

Sri Sarguru Steel,
Represented by its Partners,
K.Murugan and V.Kasthuri Raja,
25, Dindigul Main Road,
Batlagundu, Dindigul - 624202.

... Petitioner

-VS-

The State Tax Officer,
Office of the State Tax Officer,
Nilakkottai Assessment Circle,
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for records pertaining to the order of the respondent in Ref: Nil on 06.08.2024 and quash the same as it is illegal and passed in gross violation of principles of natural justice and consequently, direct the respondent to re-do the assessment afresh after providing an opportunity of personal hearing as per the provisions of the GST Act 2017.



W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Sivasubramanian


For Respondent : Mr.J.K.Jayaselan
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition is filed as against the assessment order passed by the respondent herein for the assessment year 2019-2020, dated 06.08.2024.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the order of assessment for the year 2019-2020 has been passed without providing sufficient opportunity to the petitioner, which according to the petitioner, is in violation to the principles of natural justice. Therefore, the order impugned in this writ petition, is liable to be set aside.

3. Mr.J.K.Jayaselan, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submits that the impugned assessment order has been passed after issuing show cause notice in DRC 01 to the petitioner on 21.05.2024, followed by personal hearing notices dated 01.07.2024, 12.07.2024 and 23.07.2024 and therefore, there is no need to interfere with the impugned order. He further submits that the petitioner is having an appeal remedy before the Deputy



W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

Commissioner (GST Appeal), Madurai, under Section 107 of the GST Act, 2017.

However, without invoking the appeal remedy, the petitioner has straightaway approached this Court.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned Government Advocate that the petitioner is having an appeal remedy before the Deputy Commissioner (GST Appeal), Madurai, under Section 107 of the GST Act, 2017, this writ petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appellate authority and raise all the grounds raised in this writ petition in the appeal. In the event, if any appeal is filed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the appellate authority shall entertain the appeal without reference to the period of limitation and dispose of the same in accordance with law, within a period of three months thereafter. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
smn2

08.04.2025



W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

To:-
WEB COPY

The State Tax Officer,
Office of the State Tax Officer,
Nilakkottai Assessment Circle,
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

smn2

W.P.(MD)No.9836 of 2025

08.04.2025