



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6124 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 03.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL. O.P(MD) No.6124 of 2025

and

CRL M.P.(MD) Nos.4463 & 4464 of 2025

M.Murugiah

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Boiler Plant Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.90 of 2017)

2.Jansi Rani

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 to call for the records pertaining to the Charge Sheet in C.C.No.655 of 2021 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Thiruchirappalli and quash the same as illegal.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6124 of 2025

For petitioner : Mr.G.Anto Prince

For R1 : Mr.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)


For R2 : Mr.M.Nagarajan

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner to call for the records pertaining to C.C.No.655 of 2021 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Thiruchirappalli for the offences under Sections 420, 468 and 471 of IPC, in Crime No.90 of 2017 and quash the same.

2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner and the second respondent are husband and wife. Due to the matrimonial dispute, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint against the petitioner. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the police has registered FIR in Cr.No. 90 of 2017 for the offences under Sections 420, 468 and 471 of IPC.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel on



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6124 of 2025

both sides represented that during pendency of the case in C.C.No.655 of 2021, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court.

4. Today, the defacto-complainant and the accused are present and the Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise and she has no objection in allowing this petition. A compromise memo, dated 27.03.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsels, is also filed before this Court.

5. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

6. Considering the nature of the offences, considering the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

7. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed and C.C.No.655 of 2021 pending on the file of the learned Judicial



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6124 of 2025

Magistrate No.VI, Thiruchirappalli, is quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

03.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Thiruchirappalli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Boiler Plant Police Station,
Trichy District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL.OP(MD) NO.6124 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

CrI.OP(MD) No.6124 of 2025

03.04.2025