



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 01.04.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025

L.M.Shibin Leo
Rep by his power agent
L.Leons
S/o. Mr. Lazer
12/39, Nullikattu Taluk
Kanyakumari District

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District
2. The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station
Marthandam, Kanyakumari District

3.K.C.Adharsha

.. Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 police not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry.

For Petitioner	: M/s.Abisha Issac for Mr.K.Ragatheesh Kumar
For Respondents No.1 and 2	: Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar Government Advocate(Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025


ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents 1 and 2 police not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that there is a matrimonial dispute pending between the petitioner and the third respondent. While so the third respondent lodged a false complaint against the petitioner . Based on that the second respondent is insisting the petitioner to withdraw the case pending before the Family Court, Nagercoil, hence filed the present petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that the second respondent have not interfered with the family dispute pending between the petitioner and the third respondent. Only based on the complaint given by the third respondent they called the petitioner for enquiry and apart from that they have not harassed the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025

4. Considering the limited scope of prayer sought for in this petition this Court even without issuing notice to the third respondent is inclined to pass orders.

5. According to the petitioner the second respondent harassed the petitioner by interfering with the matrimonial dispute. According to the second respondent they have not harassed the petitioner by insisting him to withdraw the case before the Family Court, Madurai.


6. Considering the relief sought for in this petition and recording the submission made by the learned Government Advocate(Crl. Side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 that they have not harassed the petitioner, this Court directs the second respondent to complete the enquiry within a period of one week from the date of this order.

7. With the above direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

01.04.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
aav

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District
2. The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station
Marthandam, Kanyakumari District
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J.

aav

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5934 of 2025

01.04.2025