



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5752 of 2025*

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

DATED : 27.03.2025

CORAM :

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.5752 of 2025

Senthilnathan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,  
Represented by the Commissioner of Police,  
Madurai,  
Madurai City.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Mattuthavani Police Station,  
Madurai.

...Respondents

**Prayer :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to issue a direction directing the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry without due process of law, on basis of the petitioner's complaint dated 22.03.2025.

For Petitioner : Ms.T.Joshua

For R1 & R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5752 of 2025*

## **ORDER**

**WEB COPY** This petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry without due process of law, on basis of the petitioner's complaint dated 22.03.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, the second respondent harassed the petitioner by frequently asking him to appear for enquiry without issuing any summon. Therefore, he sent a representation dated 22.03.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents would submit that the petitioner lent money to one Raja by pledging his Car. Thereafter, the said Raja want to redeem his Car by paying the money, but the petitioner refusing to return back the Car after receiving the money and thereby, he lodged a complaint against the petitioner. Based on the said complaint, the second respondent called the petitioner for enquiry and they issued summon, but the petitioner is evading from service of summon. In the mean time, he has filed this petition and the second respondent has not harassed the petitioner as alleged by him. Therefore, the petition is liable to be dismissed.



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5752 of 2025*

4. Considering the prayer sought for in the petition and the second

respondent has also denied the alleged allegations and only based on the complaint given by one Raja, the second respondent called the petitioner for enquiry, recording the above said submission of the learned Government Advocate, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

**27.03.2025**

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes

*Mac*

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,  
Madurai,  
Madurai City.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Mattuthavani Police Station,  
Madurai.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5752 of 2025*

**P. DHANABAL, J.**

*Mac*

**Crl.O.P.(MD) No.5752 of 2025**

**27.03.2025**