



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

**DATED : 05.08.2025**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN**

**Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025**

**and**

**C.M.P.(MD)No.5630 of 2025**

Kamatchi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

R.Kulasekaran

.. Respondent

**Prayer** : Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, to withdraw the case in H.M.O.P.No.53 of 2025 on the file of the Family Court, Dindigul and to transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Tirunelveli.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Rajeshwaran

For Respondent : Mr.R.Shankar Ganesh



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025

WEB COPY


**ORDER**

The present Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed to withdraw the case in H.M.O.P.No.53 of 2025 on the file of the Family Court, Dindigul and to transfer the same to the file of the Family Court, Tirunelveli.

2. The petitioner is the wife and the respondent is the husband. The marriage between the petitioner and the respondent was solemnized on 09.09.2021 as per the Hindu Rites and Customs at Sri Kulasekaranathar Temple, Kalakkadu, Tirunelveli District. Out of the wedlock, they have a male child.

3. Due to some matrimonial discord, the parties have separated. Therefore, the respondent/husband initiated the proceeding in H.M.O.P.No. 53 of 2025, on the file of the Family Court, Dindigul, seeking divorce.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/wife submits that his client is living in Tirunelveli and she was taken care of by her



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025

WEB COPY

parents. The distance between the petitioner's residence to Dindigul, is around 270 Kms, and being a lady, she finds it difficult to travel such a long distance for attending every Court hearing. Hence, she seeks transfer of proceeding in H.M.O.P.No. 53 of 2025 from the file of the Family Court, Dindigul, to the file of the Family Court, Tirunelveli.

5. The learned counsel for the respondent entered appearance and he vehemently opposed to allow this petition.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the respondent and perused the materials available on records.

7. In the case of *N.C.V.Aishwarya Vs. A.S.Saravana Karthik Sha* reported in **2022 Live Law (SC) 627**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has dealt with the position generally, that it is the wife's convenience, which must be looked into while considering the transfer petition under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure and the relevant passage is extracted hereunder :




Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025

WEB COPY

*“The cardinal principle for exercise of power under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.”, the bench observed.”*

8. It is also well settled proposition of law that whenever a transfer petition is filed in matrimonial disputes, the convenience of the wife should be predominantly looked into, and the wife's convenience shall be given preference.

9. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and also taking into account of the inconvenience expressed by the petitioner in



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025


WEB COPY

attending every court hearing, by travelling five hours journey to reach the Family Court, Dindigul, situated 270 kms away from her Domicile, namely, Karanthai, Tirunelveli, incurring huge expense, this court inclines to allow the petition.

10. The learned District Judge, Family Court, Dindigul, is hereby directed to transfer the entire records pertaining to the case, in H.M.O.P.No. 53 of 2025, to the file of the learned District Judge, Family Court, Tirunelveli, within a period of **four** weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and on receipt of such records, the learned learned District Judge, Family Court, Tirunelveli, is directed to take the case on file, try the same and dispose of the case as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

11. Accordingly, this Transfer Civil Miscellaneous Petition stands allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected Civil Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

**05.08.2025**



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025

Index :Yes/No  
Speaking Order :Yes/No  
Neutral Citation :Yes/No  
TM

**To**

- 1.The District Judge, Family Court, Dindigul.
- 2.The District Judge, Family Court, Tirunelveli.



WEB COPY



Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025

**K.K. RAMAKRISHNAN, J.**

TM

**Tr.CMP.(MD)No.223 of 2025**  
**and**  
**C.M.P.(MD)No.5630 of 2025**

**05.08.2025**