



W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 27.03.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

Balakrishnan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu Rep. By,
The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Thoothukudi District.

2. State of Tamil Nadu Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kovilpatti East Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

3. Sakaraisamy

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to directing the 1st and 2nd respondent herein to take necessary action against the 3rd Respondent, strictly in accordance with the direction of the Apex court in Lalitha Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P and others case, on the basis of petitioners representation dated 14.03.2025.

For Petitioner : Ms.A.Banumathy

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi (R1-R2)
Additional Public Prosecutor



W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the 1st and 2nd respondent to take necessary action against the 3rd Respondent, on the basis of petitioner's representation, dated 14.03.2025.

2. According to the petitioner, there was a money dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent. The petitioner had borrowed money from the third respondent. Now, the third respondent demanding exorbitant interest from the petitioner, thereby, the petitioner lodged a complaint before the respondents 1 and 2 and the same has not been considered. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner sent a representation through postal. Thereafter, they have approached the petitioner over phone and the same was switched off. Further, the respondent police went to the address mentioned in the complaint, but the petitioner was not available in the said address also. On appearance of the petitioner, they will complete the enquiry within a period of one week.



W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

WEB COPY

4.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondent, is inclined to dispose of the petition.

5.According to the petitioner, she sent a representation on 14.03.2025 and the same has not been considered. The second respondent has also admitted the receipt of complaint. According to the 2nd respondent, the petitioner himself not co-operated for enquiry. Therefore, it is appropriate to direct the petitioner to approach the second respondent for enquiry.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to appear before the second respondent on **05.04.2025**, thereafter, the second respondent is directed to take appropriate steps based on the representation of the petitioner and dispose the same within a period of one week from the date of this order.



W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

WEB COPY 7. With the above observations and direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

27.03.2025

Internet : Yes
Index : Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No

PNM

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Thoothukudi District.

2. State of Tamil Nadu Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kovilpatti East Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
W.P(MD)No.8460 of 2025

27.03.2025