



**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED : 01.04.2025**

**CORAM:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH**

**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**

**and**

**W.M.P.(MD)Nos.6496 and 6499 of 2025**

M/s.Thangam Endo Supply Materials,  
Represented by its Proprietrix S.Thangam,  
No.37, East Street, Bathirakalipuram,  
Theni - 625 582.

... Petitioner

-vs-

The Deputy State Tax Officer – II,  
Commercial Tax Building, Bodinayakanur.

... Respondent

**PRAYER:-** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records in the impugned order in Ref.No. ZD330623086157B, dated 20.06.2023, issued by the respondent and quash the same as it is without jurisdiction and clear violation of statutory provisions.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Karunakar

For Respondent : Mr.J.K.Jayaselan  
Government Advocate



**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**


WEB COPY

**ORDER**

This Writ Petition is filed challenging the assessment order passed by the respondent, dated 20.06.2023, for the year 2021-2022.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that due to ill-health and requiring periodic treatment for her health ailments, the petitioner was unable to fully concentrate on business activities. The petitioner was under the *bona fide* belief that the part-time accountant would ensure compliance with all statutory requirements. However, the accountant failed to do so. Hence, the petitioner was unable to participate in the adjudication proceedings, which resulted in the issuance of the ex parte impugned order.

3. Mr.J.K.Jayaselan, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submits that the impugned assessment order has been passed after issuing show cause notice to the petitioner on 14.11.2022, followed by personal hearing notice, dated 02.03.2023 and therefore, there is no need to interfere with the impugned order. He further submits that the petitioner is having an appeal remedy before the Deputy Commissioner (Appeal), Madurai, under Section 107



**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**

of the GST Act, 2017. However, without invoking the appeal remedy, the petitioner has straightaway approached this Court.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned Government Advocate that the petitioner is having an appeal remedy before the Deputy Commissioner (Appeal), Madurai, under Section 107 of the GST Act, 2017, this writ petition is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appellate authority and raise all the grounds raised in this writ petition in the appeal. In the event, if any appeal is filed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the same shall be entertained by the appellate authority without reference to the period of limitation and disposed of in accordance with law, within a period of three months thereafter. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
smn2

**01.04.2025**  
(2/2)



**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**

To:-  
WEB COPY

The Deputy State Tax Officer – II,  
Commercial Tax Building, Bodinayakanur.



WEB COPY



**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**

**VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.**

smn2

**W.P.(MD)No.8617 of 2025**

**01.04.2025**

**(2/2)**