



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 02.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025

1. Ayyavu @ Karuppasamy

2. Ramalakshmi

3. Murugalakshmi

4. Abitha

5. Anitha

6. Muniyandi

... Petitioners

Vs


1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Aruppukottai Taluk Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.17/2025.

2. Arunmano

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petitions have been filed under Section 528 of BNSS to call for the records pertaining to Crime No.17/2025 dt. 17.01.2025 on the file of the Aruppukottai Taluk Police Station,

1/5



CrI.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025

Virudhunagar District and Quash the same.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Mohammed Ibrahim


For R1 : Mr.M.M.Vaikkam Karunanithi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.K.Yasar Arafath

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners to call for the records pertaining to the First Information Report in Crime No.17 of 2025 dated 17.01.2025 on the file of the first respondent police, for the offences under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and quash the same.

2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsels on both sides represented that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and to that effect, they have entered into a compromise and the same was filed before this Court. They further



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025


submitted that it is a case and counter case. In the counter case an FIR in Crime No.18 of 2025 was registered for the offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS. The said case was also quashed by this Court on the ground of compromise in Crl.O.P(MD)NO. 5594 of 2025.

3. The defacto-complainant and all the accused are present and this Court enquired about the terms of compromise. The defacto-complainant represented that they entered into a compromise as they are close relatives and he has no objection to quash the FIR. A compromise memo, dated 17.03.2025 signed by the parties and their respective counsel, is also filed before this Court.

4. This Court has perused the terms of the compromise memo.

5. Considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties and they have also entered into a compromise, it is appropriate to allow this petition.

6. Recording the said compromise memo, this petition is allowed



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025

and the First Information Report in Crime No.17 of 2025 dated

17.01.2025 on the file of the first respondent police is quashed.

02.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR

To

1. The State of Tamilnadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
Aruppukottai Taluk Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.18 of 2025.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.5580 of 2025

02.04.2025