



CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated: 26.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

Mariappan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tenkasi District, Tenkasi.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station,
Tenkasi District.

3.G.M.Muthuraj

.. Respondents

Prayer : Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS to direct the second respondent to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and his wife from the third respondent and his henchmen.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Murugappan

For R1 & R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (CrI.side)



WEB COPY




CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner to direct the second respondent to provide adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and his wife from the third respondent and his henchmen.

2. According to the petitioner, he availed loan from the third respondent and paid EMI regularly, but the third respondent asked the petitioner to pay the entire amount with interest and the same was refused by the petitioner. Therefore, the third respondent on 07.03.2025 onwards used to come to the petitioner's house and made criminal intimidation. Therefore, the petitioner lodged a complaint before the first and second respondents on 20.03.2025, but the same has not been considered. Hence, filed this petition.

3. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.side), on instructions, would submit that the petitioner availed loan from the third respondent and there is a due in payment of loan amount, thereby the third respondent



CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

demanded the petitioner for payment of money, for that the petitioner lodged a complaint against the third respondent and the same was enquired and closed. Therefore, nothing survives in this petition.

4. Since the prayer of the petitioner is to give police protection and already the complaint of the petitioner was considered and closed, nothing survives for further adjudication in this case. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is closed. However, if the third respondent indulges in any criminal activity, then the petitioner can approach the second respondent in accordance with law.

26.03.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Rmk



CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

WEB COPY

To:

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tenkasi District, Tenkasi.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Taluk Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

P. DHANABAL,J.

Rmk

CrI.O.P(MD).No.5609 of 2025

26.03.2025