



W.P(MD)No.8203 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:25.08.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P(MD)No.8203 of 2024

A.Sangan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Sub Registrar,
Registration Department,
Ilaiyangudi,
Sivagangai District..

2. Kader Meera,,

... Respondents

(R2 is Impleaded vide Court order dated 25.06.2024
in W.M.P.(MD).No.10750 of 2024)

PRAYER :- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned check slip issued by the respondent in RFL/Ilaiyangudi/9/2024, dated 15.02.2024 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to register the Settlement Deed executed by the Petitioner and represented before the respondent on 15.02.2024 as per the order made in WP(MD).No.29948 of 2023, dated 15.12.2023 immediately within a time frame as fixed by this Honble Court.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.8203 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr. B. Prahalad Ravi

For Respondents : Mr. S. Saji Bino (for R1)
Special Government Pleader.

Mr. M.S. Jeyakarthik (for R2)

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed to quash the impugned check slip issued by the respondent in RFL/Ilaiyangudi/9/2024, dated 15.02.2024 and consequently, to direct the respondent to register the Settlement Deed executed by the Petitioner and represented before the respondent on 15.02.2024, as per the order made in WP(MD).No.29948 of 2023, dated 15.12.2023. The second respondent impleaded by this Court, who had filed an objection before the authority not to register the petitioner's settlement deed .

2.The contention of the second respondent is that when the original owner of the property has sold the property to the second respondent, the original owner's legal heirs had also sold the property to the petitioner, which is subsequent. Therefore, he has filed an objection.

3.After elaborate arguments made on either side, this Court is of the considered opinion that the second respondent objection cannot be considered at this stage because already the sale deed is registered in the petitioner's name.



W.P(MD)No.8203 of 2024

Now, he is intended to settle the property in the name of his grandson. The second respondent is claiming the title dispute, which cannot be decided by the first respondent. Therefore, the impugned order is liable to be quashed.

4. Accordingly, this writ petition stands allowed. The impugned check slip issued by the respondent in RFL/Ilaiyangudi/9/2024, dated 15.02.2024, is hereby quashed. The first respondent is directed to register the settlement deed executed by the petitioner. The petitioner as well as the second respondent are at liberty to file a suit before the civil Court to determine their titles. There shall be no order as to costs.

25.08.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes

vsg

To
The Sub Registrar,
Registration Department,
Ilaiyangudi,
Sivagangai District..



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.8203 of 2024

S.SRIMATHY, J.

vsg

**ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.8203 of 2024**

DATED :25.08.2025