



W.P.(MD) NO.8266 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 26.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD) No.8266 of 2025

V.Karthikeyan Manikandan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City,
Subramaniapuram
Trichy- 20.

2.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Cantonment,
Trichy District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Cantonment,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Wri Petition filed under Section 226 of Constitution of India for a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioner and his family members by enquiring into the civil disputes pending between the family members based on the petitioner;s legal representation, dated 19.12.2024.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) NO.8266 of 2025

For petitioner : Mr.D.Anbarasu

For Respondents : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi

Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) for R1 to R3

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioner or his family members, based on the petitioner's representation, dated 19.12.2024.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that there is a dispute between the petitioner and his mother in respect of the purchase of the property. While so, his mother foisted a false complaint against the petitioner. Taking advantage of the complaint, the third respondent frequently called the petitioner for enquiry. The petitioner also appeared for the enquiry. In spite of that, they are harassing the petitioner. Therefore, he sent a representation, dated 19.12.2024 and the same has not been considered. Hence, he filed this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) for the respondents would submit that the property originally belongs to the mother of the petitioner and when the property was sold by the



W.P.(MD) NO.8266 of 2025

petitioner's mother, the petitioner received the sale amount, since the purchaser is the friend of the petitioner. Thereafter, he refused to pay the sale amount to the petitioner's mother. Thereby, she lodged the complaint and the same is under enquiry. During the enquiry, the petitioner appeared and sought time to produce some documents. However, thereafter, he did not appear. Therefore, they called the petitioner for enquiry. Apart from that the respondent has not harassed the petitioner and they will complete the enquiry within a week.

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, recording the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) that they have not harassed the petitioner, and there is a money dispute between the petitioner and his mother, this Court directs the third respondent to complete the enquiry and dispose of the complaint in accordance with law within a week from the date of this order.

5. With the abovesaid observations and directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

26.03.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
apd



W.P.(MD) NO.8266 of 2025

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City,
Subramaniapuram
Trichy- 20.

2.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Cantonment,
Trichy District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch,
Cantonment,
Trichy District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) NO.8266 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J

apd

W.P.(MD) No.8266 of 2025

26.03.2025