



CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.04.2025

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

P.Puduraja

... Petitioner / Accused No.1

Vs.


The State of Tamil Nadu rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Nagamalai Pudukottai Police Station,
Madurai.
(Crime No.70 of 2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

PRAYER :- The Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 praying to grant pre-arrest bail to the
petitioner in Crime No.70 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Manivannan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi,
Government Advocate
(Criminal Side)



CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-


WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner on 24.03.2025 under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, praying to grant an order of pre-arrest bail.

2. The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent- police for the offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 127(2), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.70 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 01.03.2025, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before the respondent-police. According to the complaint, on 27.02.2025, while the defacto complainant was standing in his ironing shop, a wordy quarrel arose between him and the petitioner / accused. The further case of the prosecution is that there are two accused in this case, and the petitioner is arrayed as Accused No.1. The petitioner abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and assaulted him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

4. Mr.C.Manivannan, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by



CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He however submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Accordingly, he prays for grant of pre-arrest bail.

WEB COPY

5. Per contra, Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-police, submits that due to some property dispute, the petitioner and another accused attacked the defacto complainant with bottles and caused injury. In this case, there are totally two accused persons in this case and the petitioner has been arrayed as A1. He further submits that the defacto complainant admitted in the hospital on 27.02.2025 and discharged on 07.03.2025 and there are no previous cases against the petitioner. He however contends that if pre-arrest bail is granted to the petitioner, he may abscond and tamper with the evidence. Therefore, he submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary in this case. Accordingly, he prays to dismiss this Criminal Original Petition.

6. Heard on both sides. This Court has perused the records.




CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. The petitioner and the defacto complainant had previous enmity between them. Considering the nature of the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is the first offender and taking note of the fact that the defacto complainant discharged from hospital, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required for the investigating agency. Further the petitioner has permanent residence and deep roots in the society. Hence, there is less possibility of absconding. Considering the same and also considering the cumulative facts and circumstances of the case and with a view to give an opportunity to the petitioner to reform himself, this Court does incline to grant an order of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, however subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest or in the event of his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai, within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.VI, Madurai.



CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

WEB COPY

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the Application for Suretyship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Judicial Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identity proofs to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent-police daily twice at 08.00 a.m. and 06.00 p.m. until further orders.

(iv) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(v) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(vi) The petitioner shall also not, directly or indirectly, cause any threat to the defacto complainant and witnesses and shall not tamper the evidence.

(vii) The petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(viii) The petitioner shall not enter into the defacto complainant's house or his work place.

(ix) The petitioner shall furnish his residential address and mobile number to the concerned Magistrate.



CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

WEB COPY
(x) On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court, as the case may be, is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions are imposed by him as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) 13 SCC 283].

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed subject to the conditions stated supra.

sd/-
09/04/2025

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2025
Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS-I/II/III/IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

pal

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.VI,
Madurai.

2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI

<https://www.hmc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL.OP(MD).No.5562 of 2025

WEB COPY

3.The Inspector of Police,
Nagamalai Pudukottai Police Station,
Madurai.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.C.MANIVANNAN, Advocate (SR-4210[I] dated 15/04/2025)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5562 of 2025
Date :09/04/2025

VN/06.05 .2025 7P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023