



W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH

W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

Jeeva Senthil Kumar

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
The Regional Passport Office,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillainagar 7th Cross,
Tiruchirappalli - 620018.

2. The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
Sethubavachathiram Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.575/2021).

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to issue a passport to the petitioner based on his application No.TRI067438621525 dated 25.02.2025, within the time stipulated by this Honourable court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Prasanna



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

For Respondents : Mr.G.Moovendran

CGSC for R1

Mr.K.Gnanasekaran

Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R2

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the first respondent to issue the passport to the petitioner in application No.TRI067438621525, dated 25.02.2025, within the time stipulated by this Court.

2.The petitioner has made an application dated 25.02.2025, for issuance of passport. While so, on receipt of adverse police verification report, the first respondent had issued a communication dated 15.03.2025, required an explanation from the petitioner. Challenging the same, this Writ Petition is filed.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.) for the second respondent would submit that the matter is still pending in FIR stage and has not resulted in filing a final report.



W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. Heard the learned counsels on either side and carefully perused the entire materials available on record.

5. It is a settled proposition of law that a mere pendency of FIR cannot be a bar for consideration of claim for issuance of passport. This Court in the case of *W.Jaihar William Vs State of Tamil Nadu* reported in **2014 (2) CWC 684** has held that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings, unless Judicial Magistrate takes cognizance of the offence, on filing of charge sheet on completion of investigation against the accused. Right to travel abroad is a fundamental right.

6. In view of the same, the first respondent is hereby directed to consider the application of the petitioner dated 25.02.2025, for issuance of passport without reference to the FIR lodged against him and issue passport, if he is otherwise eligible for the same. The first respondent shall comply with the said direction on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. With the above directions, this Writ Petition stands allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

02.04.2025

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

PKN



W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
The Regional Passport Office,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillainagar 7th Cross,
Tiruchirappalli - 620018.
2. The State of Tamilnadu Rep By,
The Inspector of Police,
Sethubavachathiram Police Station,
Thanjavur District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.8118 of 2025

VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD) No.8118 of 2025

02.04.2025