



W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 01.04.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

R.Dhanakumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Additional Superintendent of Police (Cyber Crime),
O/o. Additional Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Deputy Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District,
Sivagangai District.

3. Ms.S.Kalpana Easwari

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents no.1 to 3 to consider the representation dated 26.11.2024 and to initiate necessary



W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

actions against the 4th respondent herein.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Mohamed Ashfalk

For R1 to R3 : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl. Side)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner to direct the respondents no.1 to 3 to consider the representation dated 26.11.2024 to initiate necessary actions against the 4th respondent.

2. According to the petitioner, the 4th respondent is frequently sending Whats App messages as against the petitioner in a defamatory manner. To that effect, already the petitioner sent a complaint to the All Women Police Station, Aruppukottai and the same was closed after enquiry. In spite of that, again the 4th respondent has circulated defamatory messages through Whats App and thereby, he sent a representation to the respondents no.1 to 3, and the same has also not been considered. Hence this petition.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents no.1 to 3 would submit that the petitioner



W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

sent a representation to the 1st respondent and the same is pending with the 1st respondent. No any representation has been received by the other respondents and therefore, the 1st respondent has to take appropriate action against the 4th respondent in accordance with law.

4. Considering the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court without even issuing notice to the 4th respondent inclined to pass orders in this petition.

5. According to the petitioner, he sent a representation to the respondents no.1 to 3 on 26.11.2024 as against the 4th respondent, but the same has not been considered. According to the respondents no.1 to 3, the petitioner sent a representation only to the 1st respondent and no any representation has been received by the other respondents. Therefore, the 1st respondent has to take action in accordance with law. In view of the same, the 1st respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 26.11.2024 and to take appropriate decision within a period of fifteen [15] days from the date of this order.



W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

6. With the above said observation and direction, this writ petition


is disposed of. No costs.

01.04.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
Mac

To

Page No. 4 of 6



W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Additional Superintendent of Police (Cyber Crime)
O/o. Additional Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Deputy Superintendent of Police,
District Collectorate,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District,
Sivagangai District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

Mac

W.P.(MD) No.8778 of 2025

01.04.2025