



W.P.(MD)No.8131 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.03.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH

W.P.(MD)No.8131 of 2025

and

WMP(MD) No.6093 of 2025

Mohaideen Jamzeeth

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Office of RBI Ombudsman,
Reserve Bank of India,
Mumbai, Maharashtra.

2. The Branch Manager,
ICICI Bank,
Ramanathapuram Branch,
Ammal Appan Tower,
Arignar Anna Salai,
Old Salai Street,
Ramanathapuram - 623501.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner lying in Account No. 611605016525 with the second respondent bank forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Nihil Nandha

For Respondents : Mr.S.Krishna

for Acquity Law Associates for R2



W.P.(MD)No.8131 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this writ petition for issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner lying in Account No. 611605016525 with the second respondent bank forthwith.

2. In view of the consent expressed by the learned counsel for the parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

3. The case of the petitioner is that he is a current account holder of the second respondent bank. The grievance of the petitioner is that his account has been freezed based on a notice received from the Police Department of the Punjab Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar Division 1 and the Rajasthan Jaipur City (South), Shipra Path, on the grounds that certain credit transactions were made in the petitioner's account. Left with no other option, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.



W.P.(MD)No.8131 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, it would suffice, if this Court issues a direction to the second respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders, within a time frame that may be stipulated by this court.

5. Considering the limited request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the second respondent, within a period of two weeks from today and the same shall be considered on merits and in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders, within four weeks thereafter, after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner and aggrieved persons, if any.

6. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of.
No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

25.03.2025

Index : Yes / No



W.P.(MD)No.8131 of 2025

Internet : Yes / No

WEB COPY
PKN

To

1.The Office of RBI Ombudsman,
Reserve Bank of India,
Mumbai, Maharashtra.

2. The Branch Manager,
ICICI Bank,
Ramanathapuram Branch,
Ammal Appan Tower,
Arignar Anna Salai,
Old Salai Street,
Ramanathapuram - 623501.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.8131 of 2025

VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

PKN

W.P.(MD) No.8131 of 2025

25.03.2025